

# DIVERSIFYING OCIO PROVIDERS

Harry Markowitz – the venerable economist and Nobel Laureate – coined the phrase “diversification is the only free lunch in finance.” As evidenced by our asset allocation and portfolio construction approach, we agree with this adage. However, in a well-intended attempt to cash in on this free lunch, we regularly see investment committees misapply the diversification principle when choosing an outsourced investment advisor. In a search for diversification, organizations occasionally choose to split their assets across more than one provider, which can unfortunately lead to higher costs, suboptimal portfolios and inefficient reporting as will be shown in this paper.

This piece highlights the potential drawbacks of using multiple providers for outsourced investment management services.

## HIGHER COSTS

Most advisory firms charge fees based on the total market value of the relationship. Economies of scale allow firms to provide lower pricing as the market value increases.

Consider the following fee schedule and subsequent example. The fees and breakpoints in the table are being used for illustrative purposes only. However, it is common for firms to charge fees using a sliding fee schedule.

|       | Asset Value (milions) | % of Asset Value |
|-------|-----------------------|------------------|
| First | 10                    | 0.60%            |
| Next  | 15                    | 0.30%            |
| Next  | 25                    | 0.25%            |
| Next  | 50                    | 0.15%            |
| Next  | 100                   | 0.10%            |

**Organization A:** Has \$75 million in assets split among three (3) OCIO providers, with \$25 million at each provider. Based on the fee schedule, each firm charges 60 basis points on the first \$10 million and 30 basis points for the next \$15 million. **This results in a total fee of \$315,000.**

**Organization B:** Also has \$75 million in assets, but uses only one investment provider who charges 60 basis points on the first \$10 million, 30 basis points for the next \$15 million, 25 basis points for the next \$25 million and 15 basis points for the final \$25 million. **This results in a total fee of \$205,000.**

---

**JOSEPH T. LEMON**  
Regional Director  
Foundation & Institutional Advisors

**Despite having the same fee schedule and the same amount of investable assets, Organization A pays \$110,000 (54%) more in fees by splitting their assets and not fully taking advantage of the fee breakpoints.**

### SUB-OPTIMAL PORTFOLIO DESIGN

We believe the main drivers of most excess returns in a portfolio come from two important decisions: 1.) Asset Class weightings and 2.) Manager Selection. Given the critical nature of these decisions, a proven process for asset allocation and manager selection are the hallmarks of strong OCIO providers. However, these strengths can quickly erode when utilizing multiple advisors. Consider the example below:

#### Advisor 1

In seeking to manage portfolio risk given the recent runup, the advisor tactically underweights Emerging Markets by 2% to the policy benchmark.

#### Advisor 2

In order to build on the recent strength in Emerging Markets, the advisor increases the allocation by 2% to the policy benchmark.

While simplistic in nature, this example results in a neutral portfolio allocation and the decisions of both advisors cancelling one another out. Not only can these decisions occur at the asset class level, we consistently see this neutralizing phenomenon with manager styles (value/growth) as well as factors (duration, credit, market cap). Ultimately, this advisor dilution results in sub-optimal portfolio construction and the potential for suboptimal performance returns.

As it relates to portfolio construction and fulfillment, minimum investment requirements on certain solutions can curtail the investment managers ability to use all of the investment options available to them. Generally speaking, managers require a certain minimum investment to gain access to lower priced investment solutions as well as to some alternative investment options. By combining assets with single investment provider, it is more likely that solutions such as hedge and private equity can be added to the portfolio.

---

An Outsourced Chief Investment Officer serves as an extension of an organization's staff and can help reduce administrative burdens, but having multiple OCIO managers may actually diminish this benefit.

**Reporting consolidation.** A major reason for using an OCIO to serve as an extension of an organization's staff is to reduce the inherent administrative burdens. However, using multiple OCIO managers may actually diminish this benefit. In order to create a holistic view of the entire portfolio, staff would be required to consolidate reporting for both accounting and performance purposes across all OCIO managers as well as the individual investments that they own. These additional steps increase the work for staff and layer on unnecessary expenses and complexity for the organization. These include increased staffing needs, additional reporting systems and the potential for errors. Taken together, these drawbacks oftentimes outweigh the benefits of using multiple advisors.

There are, however, certain instances where using two separate OCIO's can be employed without compromising portfolio design or reporting needs. We most often see this when bifurcating the marketable securities from private investment vehicles. In this scenario, each OCIO has special expertise which allows them to add value in their individual "silos" of the portfolio. Given the distinct differences of private vehicles, there is little opportunity for advisor "dilution" mentioned above. This exception to the rule usually applies to very large organizations that have a significant allocation to private assets.

In summary, while not "putting all of your eggs in one basket" can certainly sound appealing when selecting investment advisors we have found we believe that the path to success is best met through the use of a single, well-resourced OCIO. This model provides the greatest opportunity for cost effectiveness, well-managed portfolio allocations and seamless reporting consistent with an overall plan.

## LEARN MORE

To help meet your investment and advisory needs, Foundation & Institutional Advisors (FIA), is Northern Trust's national practice that exclusively serves foundations, endowments and other nonprofit institutional investors as either a dedicated investment advisor or as a fully outsourced chief investment officer. The practice is consultative and advice-driven, providing holistic solutions that combine sophisticated advisory expertise with a spectrum of value-added services.

TO LEARN MORE, VISIT [Northerntrust.com/FIA](https://www.northerntrust.com/FIA)

© 2021 Northern Trust Corporation.

This document is a general communication being provided for informational and educational purposes only and is not meant to be taken as investment advice or a recommendation for any specific investment product or strategy. The information contained herein does not take your financial situation, investment objective or risk tolerance into consideration. Readers, including professionals, should under no circumstances rely upon this information as a substitute for their own research or for obtaining specific legal, accounting or tax advice from their own counsel. Any examples are hypothetical and for illustration purposes only. All investments involve risk and can lose value, the market value and income from investments may fluctuate in amounts greater than the market.

All information discussed herein is current only as of the date of publication and is subject to change at any time without notice. Forecasts may not be realized due to a multitude of factors, including but not limited to, changes in economic conditions, corporate profitability, geopolitical conditions or inflation. This material has been obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but its accuracy, completeness and interpretation cannot be guaranteed. Northern Trust and its affiliates may have positions in, and may effect transactions in, the markets, contracts and related investments described herein, which positions and transactions may be in addition to, or different from, those taken in connection with the investments described herein.

LEGAL, INVESTMENT AND TAX NOTICE. This information is not intended to be and should not be treated as legal, investment, accounting or tax advice.

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NO GUARANTEE OF FUTURE RESULTS. Periods greater than one year are annualized except where indicated. Returns of the indexes also do not typically reflect the deduction of investment management fees, trading costs or other expenses. It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Indexes are the property of their respective owners, all rights reserved.